E-Filed: November 13, 2013

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Omar Sandov	val & Maria	
Sandoval	2	CASE NO. 13-1909 HRL
	Plaintiff(s),	3
City of Watse	v. onville, et al.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
	Defendant(s).	
	tel report that they have met and controlled pulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8	nferred regarding ADR and have reached the and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties ag	gree to participate in the following A	ADR process:
(Note: Parties appreciably note control of the ADR phone of ADR Phone of the ADR Phone of t	nore likely to meet their needs than conference and may not file this fort Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16	(ADR L.R. 5) Int conference with a Magistrate Judge is any other form of ADR must participate in an in. They must instead file a Notice of Need for 1-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
	Private ADR (please identify proc	cess and provider)
• 🗂 •	gree to hold the ADR session by: the presumptive deadline (The deareferring the case to an ADR proceed) other requested deadline 120 day	
Dated: <u>10-24</u>	-13	/s/ Dewitt Lacy Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: 10-24	-13	/s/ Reed Gallogly
		Attorney for Defendant

[PROPOSED] ORDER

	The parties' stipulation is adopted and IT IS SO ORDERED.
X	The parties' stipulation is modified as follows, and IT IS SO ORDERED

The ADR session shall be held by the presumptive deadline, 90 days from the date of the order.

Dated: November 13, 2013

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE HOWARD R. LLOYD

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Mediation."